

0 0 0

 $\hfill \square \hfill \hfill \square \hfill \square \hfill \square \hfill \square \hfill \hfi$ $\ \, 0\$ $\ \, 0 \ \, 0$ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ (archetype) □ □ $\ \ \, 0$ 0000000000,00000000 0;00000000,0000000 $\ \ \, 0$ $\ \, 0\ \, , \\ \ \, 0$

 \square \square (Roland Barthes) \square \square \square \square \square \square \square \square \square $\ \ \, 0$ 0,0000000000000000000000

 \square \square \square (binary opposition) \square \square \square \square \square \square \square $\ \, 0 \ \, , 0 \ \, \, 0 \ \, 0 \ \, 0 \ \, 0 \ \, 0 \ \, 0 \ \, 0 \ \, 0 \ \, 0 \ \, 0 \ \, 0 \ \, 0 \ \, \, 0$ □ □ □ □ □ □ "□ □ "(parole), □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ " □ " (langue) □ □ □ □ □ □ □ "□ □ □ □ □ □ (linguistic turn), □ □ □ $(textuality), \square \square \square \square \square \square (text); \square \square \square \square$

 $\ \, 0 \ \, \, 0 \ \, 0 \ \, 0 \ \, \, 0 \ \, 0 \ \, 0 \ \, 0 \ \, 0 \ \, 0 \ \, 0 \ \, 0 \ \, 0 \ \, 0 \$ $\ \ \, 0$ $\ \ \, 0$ $\ \, 0\$ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ (Frank Kermode) □ 2000

000000,00000000000 00000000000000,0000 $\ \ \, 00\ \, 0\ \,$ 000,000000000000000

00000000000000000000000 0000000000000000,0000 000,0000000000000000

Kernan) 🛮 1990 🖂 🖂 🖂 🖂 🖂 🖂 🖂 🖂 🖂 □ □ (G. C. Spivak) □ 2003 □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □

(Terry Eagleton) \square \square \square \square \square \square \square (Literary Theory: An Introduction, 1983) [] , [] [] [] [] [\Box , \Box

0 :

□1□В. Шкловский, Хуложест венная проза. Размышления и разборы (Москва, 1961), 6.

[2]М. Бахтин, Проблемы поет ики Дост овноково (Москва, 1963), 7-8.

3 René Wellek and Austin Warren, Theory of Lite-

rature, 3rd ed. (New York: Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, 1975), p. 156.

[4] [5] Northrop Frye, Anatomy of Criticism: Four Essays (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1957), p. 99, p.97.

☐ [Fank Kermode, Shakespeare's Language (London: Penguin, 2000), p. viii.



 0,00000000000000000000000 $\ \ \, 0 \ \, \, 0 \ \, \, 0 \$ $\ \, 0\ \,$ $0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0$, $0 \ 0 \ 0$, $0 \ 0$, 0 $\ \ \, 0$ $\ \ \, 0$